EXHIBIT 6

Case 1:13-md-02419-RWZ Document 2938-6 Filed 06/21/16 Page 2 of 3

Case 4:10-cv-00077-DPJ-FKB Document 355 Filed 04/17/12 Page 1 of 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI EASTERN DIVISION

HILDA L. SOLIS

PLAINTIFF

V.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 4:10-cv-00077-DPJ-FKB

HERBERT BRUISTER, et al.

DEFENDANTS

ORDER

This cause is before the Court on the following motions:

- 1. Docket No. 272 Defendants' Urgent and Necessitous Motion for Protective Order regarding information stored on Defendant Amy O. Smith's former business computer. Defendants objected to the discovery of the information, in part, because no subpoena had been issued and served for the subject information. Because the Secretary subsequently issued and served a subpoena for the subject information, the Motion for Protective Order is denied as moot.
- 2. Docket No. 292 Defendants' Urgent and Necessitous Motion for Protective Order or,
 Alternatively, to Quash the Plaintiff's Second Subpoena to DirecTV, Inc. regarding the same
 information stored on Smith's former business computer. Because the subpoena was facially defective
 in that it was issued from a non-existent court, the motion is granted and the subpoena (Docket No. 281)
 is hereby quashed.
- 3. Docket No. 315 Defendants' Urgent and Necessitous Motion to Quash the Plaintiff's Third Subpoena to DirecTV, Inc. Having considered the filings associated with Docket Entry Nos. 272, 292, and 315, Defendants' motion (Docket No. 315) is denied in part and granted in part. The request that the subpoena (Docket No. 305) be quashed is hereby denied. However, the Court orders that the production of documents and information pursuant to the subject subpoena be done with the following limitations:
- a. The parties are directed to submit to the Court within ten (10) days of entry of this Order a proposed agreed protective order with a clawback provision regarding the information to be produced pursuant to the subject subpoena.
- b. Any documents to which DirecTV asserts a privilege and DirecTV reports that contain DirecTV customer information or other proprietary/confidential information shall be withheld

Case 1:13-md-02419-RWZ Document 2938-6 Filed 06/21/16 Page 3 of 3

Case 4:10-cv-00077-DPJ-FKB Document 355 Filed 04/17/12 Page 2 of 2

from DirecTV's production pursuant to the subject subpoena. According to an email at Docket No. 271-

1, the Secretary is agreeable to DirecTV withholding these documents.

c. Although the Secretary asserts that Defendants have had the results of the

LogicForce search since February 6, 2012, see Docket No. 235-4, it is unclear whether Defendants have

had access to a copy of the data retrieved by LogicForce minus the documents DirecTV is withholding.

Accordingly, within seven (7) days of entry of this Order, DirecTV is ordered to produce to Defendants

a copy of all data responsive to the subject subpoena minus the documents DirecTV is withholding from

production. At the time of receipt of this data from DirecTV, Defendants shall file a notice of receipt

with the Court.

d. Upon receipt of the data from DirecTV, Defendants shall have twenty (20) days to

complete their privilege review of the data. No later than twenty (20) days from Defendants' receipt of

the data from DirecTV, Defendants shall produce to the Secretary all data received from DirectTV to

which Defendants do not assert any claim of privilege or protection, as well as a privilege log with

respect to all data withheld by Defendants from production to the Secretary. Defendants' privilege log

shall contain the information required by L.U.Civ.R. 26(a)(1)(C).

e. Upon receipt of the data from Defendants, Plaintiff shall have twenty (20) days to

review the data and privilege log and, if the Secretary believes necessary, to file a motion to compel with

respect to any data withheld by Defendants from production.

4. Any inadvertent production of privileged data or information shall be governed by Fed. R.

Civ. P. 26 (b)(5)(B).

SO ORDERED, this the 17th day of April, 2012.

/s/ F. Keith Ball
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE